California Energy Commission STAFF REPORT

AMENDMENTS TO APPLIANCE EFFICIENCY REGULATIONS

Initial Study and Proposed Negative Declaration for Battery Chargers and Self-Contained Lighting Controls

California Code of Regulations Title 20, Sections 1601 – 1608

Docket # 11-AAER-2



CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor

October 2011 CEC-400-2011-009

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Ken Rider Dennis Beck **Primary Authors**

Harinder Singh Project Manager

Michael Leaon

Office Manager

Appliances and Process Energy Office

Panama Bartholomy

Deputy Director

Efficiency and Renewable Energy Division

Robert P. Oglesby **Executive Director**

Karen Douglas Carla Peterson **Commissioners**

James D. Boyd Vice Chair

Robert B. Weisenmiller *Chairman*

DISCLAIMER

Staff members of the California Energy Commission prepared this report. As such, it does not necessarily represent the views of the Energy Commission, its employees, or the State of California. The Energy Commission, the State of California, its employees, contractors and subcontractors make no warrant, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the Energy Commission nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

2011 Amendments to the Appliance Efficiency Regulations, California Code of Regulations, Title 20 Sections 1601-1608

Public Resources Code § 25402, subdivision (c)(1), mandates that the California Energy Commission (Energy Commission) reduce wasteful, uneconomic, inefficient, or unnecessary energy use by prescribing, by regulation, standards for minimum levels of operating efficiency for appliances. The Energy Commission first adopted appliance efficiency regulations in 1976 and periodically adopts new or revised standards. The Energy Commission is proposing to adopt new Appliance Efficiency Regulations (Section 1601 – 1608 of Title 20 of the California Code of Regulations) efficiency standards for battery charger systems and self-contained lighting controls.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), found in Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq., requires public agencies to identify and consider the potential environmental effects of their "projects," as that term is defined, and when feasible to mitigate any related adverse environmental consequences. The proposed adoption of these regulations is a discretionary action undertaken by a public agency and has the potential to result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment. Thus, the proposed adoption constitutes a "project" under CEQA (see Pub. Res. Code section 21065), and the Energy Commission has prepared this Initial Study to assess the potential significant effects of the proposed regulations on the environment.

The proposed regulations are contained in:

Proposed Amendments to Appliance Efficiency Regulations (Express Terms), California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Sections 1601 through 1608, 2011 Appliance Efficiency Rulemaking, Phase II – Battery charger systems and self-contained lighting controls, September 2011, Docket Number 11-AAER-2.

The proposed regulations are summarized in:

Notice of Proposed Action and is available with the Express Terms at http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/battery_chargers/documents/

The potential environmental impacts of the proposed regulations are analyzed in the attached document:

Initial Study and Proposed Negative Declaration - Amendments to Appliance Efficiency Regulations, California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Sections 1601 – 1608, October 2011, Docket # 11-AAER-2.

All of the documents listed above are available on the Energy Commission's website, http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/battery_chargers/documents/ by phone at (916) 654-4147, or by electronic mail from the Energy Commission's Appliances and Process Energy Office, by submitting a request to aromo@energy.state.ca.us.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Initial Study demonstrates, and the Energy Commission concludes, that the proposed regulations for energy efficiency standards and other regulations for battery charger systems and self-contained lighting controls will not have any significant adverse effect on the environment. The attached *Initial Study, Environmental Checklist, and Proposed Negative Declaration* support this finding.

ROBERT B. WEISENMILLER, Ph.D. Chairman	DATE:
JAMES D. BOYD Vice Chair	DATE:
KAREN DOUGLAS, J.D. Commissioner	DATE:
CARLA PETERMAN Commissioner	DATE:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
CHAPTER 2: Description of Proposed Project	3
CHAPTER 3: Energy and Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Project	5
CHAPTER 4: No Project Alternative	7
CHAPTER 5: Environmental Checklist	9
CHAPTER 6: Determination	25
APPENDIX A: Matrix of Proposed Changes to Appliance Efficiency Standards and Resulting Energy and Environmental Effects	27
APPENDIX B: References	29
APPENDIX C: Glossary of Terms	31
APPENDIX D: REFERENCE CALCULATIONS	30

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

The California Energy Commission was established in 1974 by the Warren-Alquist Act to develop and implement energy policy for California. One of the Energy Commission's mandates is to promote energy efficiency through a variety of means, including efficiency standards for appliances. (Pub. Res. Code Section 25402[c][1]). The Energy Commission adopted its first appliance efficiency standards in 1976 and has periodically revised those, and adopted new, regulations since then. The current regulations include provisions on testing of appliances to determine their efficiency, reporting of data by manufacturers to the Energy Commission, establishing mandatory minimum efficiency levels, and compliance and enforcement procedures, as well as general provisions on the scope of the regulations and definitions.

The proposed amendments to the regulations include efficiency requirements for battery charger system energy consumption and self-contained lighting controls. The scope of the proposed regulations is broad and includes battery charger systems such as forklifts, cell phones, laptops, golf carts, power tools, shavers, mp3 players, handheld radios, and toothbrushes. The proposed scope of self-contained lighting control regulations is also broad and includes automatic time-switch, dimmer, photo, and occupant sensor controls.

Implementation of the proposed regulations will result in an estimated reduction in electricity consumption of 2,186 gigawatt-hours (GWh) per year after the existing battery charger system stock is replaced. It is estimated that the concomitant reduction in power plant operation in California would reduce criteria air pollutants (nitrous oxides (NO_x) and sulfur oxides (SO_x), particulate matter less than 10 and 2.5 microns in diameter (PM10, PM2.5), and carbon monoxide (CO)) by 294.2 metric tons per year. In addition, greenhouse gases will be reduced by an estimated 1.0 million metric tons per year.¹

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), found in Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq., requires public agencies to identify and consider the potential environmental effects of their "projects," as that term is defined, and when feasible to mitigate any related adverse environmental consequences. This proposed adoption is a discretionary decision undertaken by a public agency and has the potential to result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment. Thus, it constitutes a "project" under CEQA (see Pub. Resources Code Section 21065). Therefore, the Energy Commission has prepared this Initial Study to assess the potential significant effects of the proposed regulations on the environment.

1

¹ Estimates based on calculations using the energy use data listed in Appendix D: Matrix of Proposed Changes to Appliance Efficiency Standards.

CHAPTER 2: Description of Proposed Project

Project Name

This project is a statewide rulemaking proceeding titled: Battery Charger Systems and Self-Contained Lighting Control Standards, Energy Commission Docket Number 11-AEER-2.

Project Description and Location

The project is a proposal for statewide regulations to establish or amend the levels of efficiency required for battery charger systems and self-contained lighting controls, which are not covered by federal appliance efficiency standards. The required new efficiency standards apply to newly manufactured products and are attainable through normal manufacturing processes.

Specifically, the California Energy Commission is proposing to adopt efficiency standards for:

- Larger battery charger systems.
- Small battery charger systems.
- Inductive charger systems.
- Battery backup and uninterruptable power supplies (UPS).

The proposed regulations cover both consumer and nonconsumer battery charger systems, as those terms are defined in federal law, in a tiered approach and will apply to small battery charger systems that are consumer products manufactured on or after January 1, 2013, and apply to small battery chargers systems that are nonconsumer products and to all large battery charger systems manufactured on or after January 1, 2017. This rulemaking also includes clarifications and/or corrections to the existing Appliance Efficiency Regulations based on the inclusion of these new standards.

The proposed regulations for battery charger systems include measurement of power consumption in active mode, maintenance mode, and no battery mode; power factor for larger battery charger systems; and labeling and marking requirements.

The Energy Commission also proposes to adopt lighting control regulations currently found in Title 24 of the CCR as appliance standards in the Appliance Efficiency Regulations. The proposed standards for lighting controls will have an effective date of January 1, 2013.

The proposed regulations that are the project for purposes of the study are contained in:

Proposed Amendments to Appliance Efficiency Regulations (Express Terms), California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Sections 1601 through 1608, September 2011 Appliance Efficiency Rulemaking, Phase II – Battery Charger Systems and Lighting Controls, Docket Number 11-AAER-2.

More detailed description and analysis of the project is contained in: Staff Report Staff Analysis of Battery Chargers and Lighting Controls. CEC-400-2011-001-SF

All of the documents associated with this rulemaking are available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/battery_chargers/documents/ or by electronic mail from the Energy Commission's Appliances and Process Energy Office. The office can be reached by contacting Angelica Ramos at (916) 654-4147, or at aromo@energy.state.ca.us.

CHAPTER 3: Energy and Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Project

Energy Impacts

The energy efficiency standards being proposed will reduce the future demand for energy. The proposed changes to the Appliance Efficiency Regulations reduce the energy use resulting from the use of battery charger systems and lighting with no significant change in the energy or materials needed to manufacture the appliances. The annual reduction in electricity consumption will total 2,187 GWh. This reduction will lead to a reduced need for new power plants, use of fossil fuels for those plants, and new transmission lines.

Environmental Impacts

The Energy Commission completed the environmental checklist that is contained in the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15000 et seq., Appendix G) to address the potential environmental effects of the proposed regulations. The impacts to California, which are outlined in Chapter 5, indicate that implementing the proposed amendments will have no adverse effect on the environment. In fact, the new standards will result in environmental benefits due to reductions in electricity use in battery charger systems and lighting and consequent emissions reductions in California and the western United States. These reductions are estimated to be 1.0 million metric tons per year of carbon dioxide equivalent greenhouse gas reductions and 294.2 metric tons of criteria air pollutants. The emission factors used to calculate the emission reductions are found in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 4: No Project Alternative

If the Energy Commission does not adopt energy efficiency standards for battery charger systems and self-contained lighting controls as proposed in this project, California would forego the energy savings that would result from the proposed regulations. The energy savings for battery charger systems is estimated to be 2,187 GWh after stock turnover. The annual release of criteria air pollutants (NO_x, SO_x, PM10, PM 2.5, and CO) would continue from power plants that generate electricity, both in California and across the western United States, would be avoided by the proposed regulations. This combined pollution for all criteria pollutants that would occur without these regulations is estimated to be 294.2 metric tons per year (combined). Also, greenhouse gas emissions would not be reduced by an estimated 1.0 million metric tons per year.

These estimated savings are cumulative. Battery charger systems and self-contained lighting controls sold in one year continue to provide energy savings in future years, while each future year also contains new sales of these products. The savings and benefits are calculated up to the point where compliant products begin replacing noncompliant products.

CHAPTER 5: Environmental Checklist

Table 1: Lead and Responsible Agencies

Project Title	The project title is battery charger system and self-contained lighting control standards and is contained in the Proposed Amendments to Appliance Efficiency Regulations (Express Terms), California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Sections 1601 through 1608, September 18, 2011 Appliance Efficiency Rulemaking, Phase II, Docket Number 11-AAER-2
Lead agency name and address	California Energy Commission–MS 25, 1516 Ninth Street, Sacramento, California 95814
Contact person and phone number	CEQA Manager, Ken Rider, Appliances and Process Energy Office, Efficiency and Renewable Energy Division, krider@energy.state.ca.us (916) 654-5006 Project Manager, Harinder Singh, Appliances and Process Energy Office, Efficiency and Renewable Energy Division, hsingh@energy.state.ca.us , (916) 654-4091
Project description	The project is a proposal for statewide regulations to establish the levels of efficiency required for certain battery charger systems and self-contained lighting controls, which are not covered by federal appliance efficiency standards. The required new efficiency standards apply to newly manufactured products and are attainable through normal manufacturing processes.
Responsible agencies	None
Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement)	None

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected

For each of the environmental factors checked below, there is likely to be a positive environmental impact due to the decrease in power generation associated with reduced electrical demand by the use of more efficient appliances. The Energy Commission's analysis reveals no significant adverse impacts.

Table 2: Potentially Affected Areas

	I. Aesthetics	Х	VII. Greenhouse Gas Emissions		XIII. Population/Housing
	II. Agriculture Resources	х	VIII. Hazards & Hazardous Materials		XIV. Public Services
х	III. Air Quality	Х	IX. Hydrology/ Water Quality		XV. Recreation
х	IV. Biological Resources		X. Land Use/ Planning		XVI. Transportation/Traffic
	V. Cultural Resources		XI. Mineral Resources	х	XVII. Utilities/Service Systems
	VI. Geology/Soils		XII. Noise		XVIII. Mandatory Findings of Significance

Issues

Table 3: Specific Potential Issues

1 4515 5. 5 5	ecific Potential		-		
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
I. AESTHETICS Would the project:					
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				Х	
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				Х	
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				Х	
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				Χ	
COMMENT: Improvements in the energy efficiency of battery charger systems and lighting with self-contained controls will have no impact to aesthetics and no impact on any of the specific concerns listed above. The proposed self-contained lighting control regulations may reduce sources of light and glare and improve nighttime views by automatically shutting off lighting. II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES – In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project: a) Convert prime farmland, unique					
farmland, or farmland of statewide importance (farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				Х	
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				Х	

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220[g]), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104[g])?				X
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				X
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment that, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland, to nonagricultural use or conversion of forest land to nonforest use? COMMENT: Improvements in the energy				Х

COMMENT: Improvements in the energy efficiency of battery charger system and lighting with self-contained controls will have no impact to agricultural resources and no impact on any of the specific concerns listed above. These regulations do not require land, including forest or agriculture land, to convert to other uses.

III. AIR QUALITY -- Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?		Х
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		Х
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?		Х
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		Х

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				Х
COMMENT: Improvements in the energy self-contained controls will have no adverself-contained controls will have no adverself-contained controls will have no adverself-contained controls. California as compared to no standards. air quality impact by a reduction in emission.	rse impact to the les will likely re Reduced power ions of criteria	ne air quality cond sult in reduced p er plant operation	cerns listed ab ower plant op will result in a	ove. eration in
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would	the project:	Γ		
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? b) Have a substantial adverse effect on				X
any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				Х
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				Х
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				Х
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				Х

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				Х
Improvements in the energy efficiency of contained controls will have no impact on concerns listed above. The proposed reg habitat, to convert to other uses.	biological reso	ources and no imp	pact on the sp	
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would th	ne project:			
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?				Х
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?				Х
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				Х
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside formal cemeteries?				X
Improvements in the energy efficiency of contained controls will have no impact on specific concerns listed above. The properties or archaeological/paleontological	any cultural re osed regulation	esources and no in the not require	impact on any	of the
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the	project:			
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				X
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				X

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?		•		Х
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				Х
iv) Landslides?				Χ
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				Х
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on-or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				Х
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				Х
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?				Х
Improvements in the energy efficiency of contained controls will have no impact to concerns listed above. The proposed regaffect its seismic or stability characteristic	geology and segulations do no	oils and no impac t require changes	t on the speci	fic
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	vvouid the pro	ject: I	 	
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				Х
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? Improvements in the energy efficiency of	hattanı aharsa	r avotome and lia	phting with as 14	Х

Improvements in the energy efficiency of battery charger systems and lighting with self-contained controls will have no adverse greenhouse gas emissions. The proposed regulations are part of State policy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The regulations will reduce greenhouse gas emissions by an estimated 1.0 MMTCO2e/yr.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MAT	TERIALS Wo	ould the project:		
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				Х
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				Х
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				х
d) Be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				X
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				Х
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				Х
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				Х

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury; or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				Х	
Improvements in the energy efficiency of lighting with self-contained controls will have no impact on hazards and hazardous material. While the proposed regulations for battery charger systems cover batteries that contain toxic materials such as lead and cadmium, the regulations do not prescribe their use nor require an increase in the toxic materials already used in these batteries. The proposed regulations also do not alter the way in which these materials are disposed. The proposed regulations may lead to prolonged battery life that would reduce the amount of toxic and hazardous materials disposed of and processed in the state. IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project:					
a) Violate any water quality standards		F5,0-0.		Х	
or waste discharge requirements? b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				Х	
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on-or off-site?				Х	

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on-or-off-site?				Х			
e) Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				х			
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				Х			
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				Х			
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures that would impede or redirect flood flows?				Х			
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				Х			
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				Х			
Improvements in the energy efficiency of battery charger systems and lighting with self-contained controls will have no impact on hydrology and water quality and no impact on any of the specific concerns listed above. The proposed regulations do not require land, including flood zones and drainage, to be altered.							
a) Physically divide an established community?	tne project:			Х			

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				Х
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				Х
Improvements in the energy efficiency of a contained controls will have no impact to a specific concerns listed above. The proposed and community development sites, to contain XI. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the	land use and posed regulation wert to other u	planning and no in ns do not require	mpact on to ar	ny of the
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	project.			Х
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?				Х
Improvements in the energy efficiency of contained controls will have no adverse in proposed regulations do not require land,	npact on any c	of the concerns lis	sted above. Ti	he
XII. NOISE Would the project result in: a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				Х
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?				Х

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				Х
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				Х
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X
Improvements in the energy efficiency of contained controls will have no noise impabove. The self-contained lighting control limiting the volume of occupancy sensors	act and no imp I regulations w	act on the specif	ic concerns lis	ted
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING W	ould the projec	t:		
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				Х
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				Х
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? Improvements in the energy efficiency of	hotton, chouse	r ougtoms and Es	م طنند ممناط	Х

contained controls will have no impact on population and housing and no impact on any of the concerns listed above.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES Would the pro	oject:						
a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				Х			
Fire protection?				Х			
Police protection?				X			
Schools?				X			
Parks?				X			
Other public facilities?		ith a lf a sutaine a	المارية				
Improvements in battery charger systems and lighting with self-contained controls will have not require the construction or alteration of governmental facilities in a way that will cause significant negative environmental impact. The proposed regulations will require the alteration of facilities in a way that will have positive environmental impact by requiring new battery-powered equipment be charged efficiently. This reduction in energy consumption will lead to environmental benefits by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, criteria pollutant production, and the need to site and construct new power plants.							
XV. RECREATION Would the project:							
a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				Х			
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				Х			

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Improvements in the energy efficiency of contained controls will have no impact on concerns listed above. The proposed regions convert to other uses.	recreation and gulations do no	d no impact on ar t require park or	ny of the speci	fic
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC W	ould the projec	ot:		
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				X
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the country congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				X
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?				х
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				Х
e) Result in inadequate emergency				Х
access? f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities.				Х

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact				
Improvements in the energy efficiency of battery charger systems and lighting with self-contained controls will have no impact on transportation/traffic and no impact on any of the specific concerns listed above.								
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEM	IS Would the	e project:						
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				Х				
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				Х				
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				Х				
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				Х				
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the projects projected demand in addition to the providers' existing commitments?				Х				
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the projects solid waste disposal needs?				Х				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				X

Improvements in the energy efficiency of battery charger systems and lighting with self-contained controls will have no adverse impact on any of the concerns listed above. By reducing electricity and natural gas use, the proposed regulations will have beneficial effects on energy utilities, including increased reliability.

XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		X
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?		X
c) Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		Х

Improvements in the energy efficiency of battery charger systems and lighting with self-contained controls will have no adverse impact on any of the concerns listed in the above checklist. No potential exists for any adverse impacts on any animal or human populations, and none of the impacts are cumulatively considerable. Improvements in the energy efficiency of battery charger systems and lighting with self-contained controls resulting from the proposed standards are likely to result in beneficial impacts including reduced energy consumption, reduced power plant operation, and reduced need to build power plants and power lines in the future.

CHAPTER 6: Determination

On the basis of this evaluation:

Χ	I find that the proposed project WILL NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
	I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
	I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.
Signir	ng Officer:
Execu	ERT P. OGLESBY utive Director ornia Energy Commission
Signa	atureDate

APPENDIX A: Matrix of Proposed Changes to Appliance Efficiency Standards and Resulting Energy and Environmental Effects

Table I: Matrix of Proposed Changes

	Appliance Type	Existing Standard	Proposed Standard or Description of Changes	Estimated Energy Effects	Potential Environmental Issues
1	Battery Charger Systems	Currently there are only testing standards for battery charger systems.	The proposed requirements establish active, maintenance, and no battery standards for battery charger systems.	Estimated sales in California are 57,000,000 annually. Average energy savings per unit is 14 kWh/yr for small battery chargers and 3,294 kWh/yr for large battery chargers. Estimated energy savings for this appliance after full stock turnover is 2,187 GWh/yr.	EMISSIONS: Emissions reductions in criteria pollutants (NO _x , SO _x , PM, PM10, CO, PM2.5, TOG, and ROG) estimated to be 294.2 metric tons per year. Greenhouse gas reductions are estimated to be 1.0 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent per year. MATERIALS: while some batteries contain toxic materials the proposed regulations do not require changes in the battery construction that will lead to an increase of toxic materials.

	Appliance Type	Existing Standard	Proposed Standard or Description of Changes	Estimated Energy Effects	Potential Environmental Issues
2	Self- Contained Lighting Controls	Existing self-contained lighting control regulations appear in Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), which regulates what can be installed in buildings.	The proposed regulations will incorporate the Title 24 requirements into Title 20 of the CCR for self-contained lighting controls. This will require that only products that comply with the standards may be sold or offered for sale in California.	The proposed regulations will increase compliance and have the potential to yield additional savings in installations that are not covered under Title 24.	Self-contained lighting controls are already required to meet these standards when installed in buildings. The proposed design requirements for self-contained lighting controls do not require a change in manufacturing that would have a negative environmental impact in the California. The standards will have a positive environmental impact derived from energy savings.

APPENDIX B: References

References

Order Instituting Rulemaking, Order # 07-1205-26, 3 pages, December 3, 2007; Docket # 07-AAER-3, available at

http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/2008rulemaking/documents/2007-12-05 ORDER.PDF

Staff Report Staff Analysis of Battery Chargers and Self-Contained Lighting Controls. CEC-400-2011-001-SF Docket # 11-AAER-2; available at http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/battery chargers/documents

Proposed Amendments to Appliance Efficiency Regulations (Express Terms), California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Sections 1601 through 1608, October 2011 Appliance Efficiency Rulemaking, Phase II – Battery Charger Systems and Self-Contained Lighting Controls, Docket # 11-AAER-2; available at http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/battery_chargers/documents

Notice of Proposed Action, Proposed Amendments to Appliance Efficiency Regulations, California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Sections 1601 through 1607, October 7, 2011, Docket # 11-AAER-2; available at http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/battery chargers/documents

Initial Statement of Reasons, Proposed Amendments to Appliance Efficiency Regulations, California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Sections 1601 through 1607, October 7, 2011, Docket # 11-AAER-2; available at http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/battery chargers/documents

APPENDIX C: Glossary of Terms

- CO Carbon Monoxide, a gas generated from incomplete combustion processes including fossil fuel combustion. The primary concern is the effect of chronic low emission levels on local air quality, as contrasted with the potential acute health hazard posed by direct inhalation of concentrated CO.
- CO₂ Carbon Dioxide, a gas generated from normal combustion processes including fossil fuel combustion. Primary concern is its effect on global climate change.
- Gigawatt-hour (GWh) One thousand megawatt-hours, or one million kilowatt-hours, or one billion watt-hours of electrical energy.
- Kilowatt-hour (kWh) One thousand watt-hours of energy.
- Megawatt-hour (MWh) One thousand kilowatt-hours, or one million watt-hours of electrical energy.
- NOx Oxides of nitrogen, usually NO and NO2, which are gases generated from incomplete combustion processes including fossil fuel combustion. Primary concern is as a chief component of air pollution, contributing specifically to ground-level ozone (O₃), smog, and acid rain (through formation of nitric acid).
- PM10 Solid particulate matter defined as having a mean aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or smaller. Generally considered pollutants, particulates are released from combustion processes in exhaust gases including those generated by fossil fuel plants, by mobile sources such as automobiles, and by other fugitive particle sources.
- PM2.5 Solid particulate matter defined as having a mean aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns or smaller. Similar in most respects to PM10 but with somewhat different effects on biology and health.
- SO_x Sulfur oxides, a group of gases generated from the combustion of sulfur. Trace quantities of sulfur are found in virtually all fossil fuels, and are combusted when the fuels are burned. Primary concern is as the pollutant primarily responsible for acid rain (through formation of sulfuric acid).

APPENDIX D

Reference Calculations

Energy Savings

% of total generation with emmissions in state (combustables)

Energy Savings related to in state emmissions

2,187 GWh/yr 44.42%

971.4654 GWh/yr

Source: Battery Charger Staff Report CEC-400-2011-001-SF

Source: 2007 IEPR CEC-100-2007-008-CMF

Total State Energy Consumption

In state combustibles

306,577 GWh/yr 136,182 GWh/yr Source: http://energyalmanac.ca.gov/electricity/total_system_power.htm

Electric Utilities Inventory of Air Pollutants

Source: http://www.arb.ca.gov/app/emsinv/emssumcat_query.php ?F_YR=2008&F_DIV=-4&F_SEASON=A&SP=2009&F_AREA=CA#stationary

daily	36.54 tons/day	TOG	Annual	13337.1 tons/yr	TOG	per GWh	0.097936 tons/GWh	TOG
	2.73 tond/day	ROG		996.45 tons/yr	ROG		0.007317 tons/GWh	ROG
	36.15 tons/day	CO		13194.75 tons/yr	CO		0.096891 tons/GWh	CO
	26.21 tons/day	NOX		9566.65 tons/yr	NOX		0.070249 tons/GWh	NOX
	4.4 tons/day	SOX		1606 tons/yr	SOX		0.011793 tons/GWh	SOX
	6.62 tons/day	PM		2416.3 tons/yr	PM		0.017743 tons/GWh	PM
	6.17 tons/day	PM10		2252.05 tons/yr	PM10		0.016537 tons/GWh	PM10
	5.74 tons/day	PM2.5		2095.1 tons/yr	PM2.5		0.015385 tons/GWh	PM2.5

Savings	95.14164 tons/yr	TOG
	7.108283 tons/yr	ROG
	94.12617 tons/yr	CO
	68.24473 tons/yr	NOX
	11.45657 tons/yr	SOX
	17.23694 tons/yr	PM
	16.06524 tons/yr	PM10
	14.94562 tons/yr	PM2.5
total	324.3252 tons/yr	criteria pollutants
	294.2229 mton/yr	criteria pollutants

Greenhouse Gas reduction

Energy consumption reduction goal for Energy Efficiency in scoping plar expected greenhouse reduction Reduction per GWh Project energy consumption reduction Savings

32000 GWh 15.2 MMTCO2e 0.000475 MMTCO2e/GWh 2,187 GWh/yr 1.038825 MMTCO2e/yr source: http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/document/adopted_scoping_plan.pdf